Musselshell Watershed Coalition Salinity Meter End of Season Assessment: February 2019

Summary prepared by Mitchell Hoffman and Adam Sigler 02/26/2019

On February 25, 2019, all 5 meters were assessed at the same time for reading agreement. This was conducted at the USDA NRCS Field Office in Winnett, MT by Mitchell Hoffman. All five meters were placed in the same tub of water and readings were recorded simultaneously while slugs of salt water were added in accordance with the SOPs, to assess agreement among the meters over the range of salinities observed during the monitoring season. The full results are in the table below. Four of the meters had maximum relative percent difference (RPD) from the mean of less than 5%. The ProPlus meter had RPD from the mean ranging over 20% - however at the lower salinity levels, which are closer to what would be seen in the field, the ProPlus was around 5% accurate. In the 2018 season we saw measurements max out at around 2500 uS/cm so it is unlikely that RPD was ever higher than 10% during field measurements. The full results are in the table below

Time (min)	LMCD 1		LMCD 2		LMCD 3		LMCD 4		ProPlus		Δυσ	Netoc			
	SC (uS/cm)	RPD	Avg.	Notes											
0	1742	-3.95%	2128	17.34%	1751	-3.45%	1741	-4.00%	1706	-5.93%	1813.6	Placed probes in tub of Winnett NRCS field office tap water.			
1	1743	-1.90%	1963	10.48%	1754	-1.28%	1743	-1.90%	1681	-5.39%	1776.8				
5	1743	-0.88%	1895	7.77%	1748	-0.59%	1741	-0.99%	1665	-5.31%	1758.4				
8	1742	-0.53%	1874	7.01%	1743	-0.47%	1741	-0.58%	1656	-5.44%	1751.2				
10	5042	4.61%	4978	3.28%	4933	2.35%	4946	2.62%	4200	-12.86%	4819.8	Added salt water to the tub of tap water/probes, mixed in the salt water.			
12	5011	4.10%	4984	3.54%	4934	2.50%	4941	2.64%	4199	-12.77%	4813.8				
15	4989	3.46%	4980	3.28%	4938	2.41%	4942	2.49%	4261	-11.63%	4822.0				
17	4980	3.19%	4977	3.13%	4945	2.47%	4946	2.49%	4282	-11.27%	4826.0				
19	7586	5.62%	7516	4.65%	7588	5.65%	7493	4.33%	5728	-20.25%	7182.2	Added salt water to the tub of tap water/probes, mixed in the salt water.			
21	7581	5.03%	7522	4.21%	7591	5.17%	7498	3.88%	5898	-18.29%	7218.0				
23	7577	4.70%	7525	3.98%	7582	4.77%	7501	3.65%	6000	-17.09%	7237.0				
25	7574	4.53%	7523	3.82%	7575	4.54%	7502	3.53%	6056	-16.42%	7246.0				
28	10367	4.74%	10276	3.82%	10333	4.40%	10347	4.54%	8165	-17.51%	9897.6	Added salt water to the tub of tap water/probes, mixed in the salt water			
30	10385	4.69%	10272	3.55%	10345	4.29%	10340	4.24%	8257	-16.76%	9919.8				
35	10417	4.28%	10311	3.22%	10349	3.60%	10342	3.53%	8526	-14.65%	9989.0				

Mitch Hoffman subsequently recorded meter readings in 1413 solution before and after cleaning the meter electrodes. The RPD between pre and post cleaning was not greater than 2% for any of the meters. All meters read within 4% of the 1413 solution post cleaning.

			LMCD 1			LMCD 2		LMCD 3			LMCD 4			ProPlus		
		Time (min)	SC (uS/cm)	Temp (C)	Time (min)	SC (uS/cm)	Temp (C)	Time (min)	SC (uS/cm)	Temp (C)	Time (min)	SC (uS/cm)	Temp (C)	Time (min)	SC (uS/cm)	Temp (C)
2018	Pre-cleaning	0	1396	20.9	0	1407	20.6	0	1447	20.4	(1397	20.2	0	1434	19.9
		2	1397	21.0	2	1401	20.5	2	1445	20.6	2	1426	20.3	2	1434	19.9
		4	1398	21.0	4	1419	20.1	4	1444	20.6	4	1425	20.3	4	1434	19.9
					6	1421	20.1									
	Post-cleaning	0	1409	20.1	0	1423	19.9	0	1446	20.0	(1446	19.9	0	1415	20.1
		2	1418	19.9	2	1426	20.0	2	1450	20.0	2	1449	19.8	2	1429	20.0
		4	1418	19.9	4	1427	20.0	4	1454	20.1	4	1450	19.9	4	1430	19.9
								6	1457	20.1						
	RPD (pre vs post clean)		-1.4%			-0.4%			-0.9%			-1.7%			0.3%	
	RPD (post clean reading															
	vs 1413 solution)		0.4%			1.0%			3.1%			2.6%			1.2%	

In summary, the difference among meters at the end of the season was less than 5% across salinity levels given proper equilibration time with the exception of the ProPlus, and the difference from calibration solution was less than 4%. This verified high level of accuracy without calibration during the season indicates that the current procedure of calibrating only once at the beginning of the season and checking again at the end produces accurate results while dramatically reducing strain on volunteers.